

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2000) KLR VOL 2 PART 95 pp. 191 - 336

FEBRUARY 2000

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191
2. Dike v. Aduba p. 203
3. Ayorinde v. Oni p. 217
4. Ito v. Ekpe p. 249

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281
2. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313

CORRIGENDUM

In the Indexes of (2000) 1 KLR (Pt. 94), Diogu v. COP a novel Court of Appeal case on Bail is erroneously stated to be at p. 145. The case is reported at p. 175. We regret every inconvenience caused and promise to guard against such errors.

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2000) 2 KLR**

ACTIONS - Abuse of Court process - By filing action in another court between same parties on same subject matter - During the pendency of a prior action - The court where more issues are raised - Where jurisdiction is not in doubt - Is more convenient. The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191

ACTIONS - Appeals - Stay of proceedings - Made by the Court of Appeal - In respect of the subsequent High Court action - Was wrong - As the action was not tainted with mala fides. The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191

ACTIONS - Constitution of an action - Where the only defendants were sued in a particular capacity - That was found to be improper - The action would be struck out - For being improperly constituted. Ayorinde v. Oni p. 217

ACTIONS - Improperly constituted action - Two alternatives are open to the Supreme Court - In the circumstances of this case - Striking out is the preferred alternative. Ayorinde v. Oni p. 217

ACTIONS - Improperly constituted action - Various options open to an appellate court - As set out in a previous Supreme Court decision. Ayorinde v. Oni p. 217

ACTIONS - Issues - raised in subsequent High Court Action - During the pendency of a magistrate's Court action - The High Court issues being more and in view their nature - The more convenient court is the High Court. The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191

ACTIONS - Pendency of two similar actions in separate courts - Though the later action raises more issues - Ulterior motive aimed at abusing court process - Must be ruled out in determining the more convenient court. The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Decision - As envisaged under s. 277(1) of the 1979 Constitution - Does not include an application taken administratively - From which an appeal will not arise under s. 220(1) of same Constitution. *Dike v. Aduba* p. 203

ESTOPPEL - Issue estoppel - Pleadings - Materials for resting on issue estoppel were pleaded - Court of Appeal was right to determine the case - On the basis of issue estoppel. *Ito v. Ekpe* p. 249

ESTOPPEL - Courts - Public policy demands that courts should not encourage relitigation - Between same parties, same cause or same issue - As in previous and present proceedings. *Ito v. Ekpe* p. 249

ESTOPPEL - Subject matter - Land dispute - The disputed land is the same - As in the previous and present suits. *Ito v. Ekpe* p. 249

EVIDENCE - Previous judgment - Where it constitutes a fact in issue - It is a relevant fact which could be pleaded. *Ito v. Ekpe* p. 249

PLEADINGS - Issues - Were properly joined by the pleadings - Parties are bound by their pleadings - Evidence not supported by the pleadings - Goes to no issue. *Ito v. Ekpe* p. 249

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Rules of Court - O 19 r. 5 Anambra State High Court Rules 1988 - Transfer of a case from one court to another thereunder - Is merely administrative - And does not require court proceedings. *Dike v. Aduba* p. 203

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Transfer of cases to courts - Mere fact that relief for such transfer was sought in the claim - Would not stop the administrative judge from effecting the transfer - And the result of such transfer is not appealable to the Court of Appeal. *Dike v. Aduba* p. 203

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Contradictions - Allegation that plaintiffs' case is full of material contradictions - Is not substantiated. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

ACTIONS - Leave to defend - Refused in this case - Was wrong - As it amounts to denial of justice. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

ACTIONS - Reliefs - Counterclaim - Grant of reliefs without counter claim - Finding of trial court was based on the pleadings and evidence adduced - But the trial court's consequential order being superfluous is set aside. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

APPEALS - Notices of appeal - Subsequent discontinuance thereof - Has no effect on the transfer of the property in dispute - That was legally done before the case was instituted. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Exclusive right - To the chieftaincy stool in dispute - Was not established by the plaintiffs. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

CONTRACTS - Agency - Authority of the Agent - Where limited to finding a buyer - Any conclusion of the sale agreement by the agent - Will be null and void. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

CONTRACTS - Legal contract - Offer and acceptance - Consensus ad idem - Certainty of terms - Are elementary basis for existence of any contract. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

CONTRACTS - Specific performance - Claimant must fail - If there is a default on his part - To discharge his own obligations under the contract. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

COURTS - Findings of trial court - Are perverse in view of the printed evidence. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

COURTS - Pleadings - New case - Different from the one set up by plaintiffs - Was not formulated by the trial court - It merely considered the two sides of the case. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

EVIDENCE - Documents - Admissibility - Notice to produce - Where not filed - And the content of the document was not contested under cross examination - The trial court was not wrong is relying on the document. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

EVIDENCE - Documents - Public documents - Admissibility - Secondary evidence of a public document - Only certified true copy thereof is admissible - But exhibit D1 is a primary evidence. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

EVIDENCE - Minutes of a meeting - The fact that it is headed “notes” - And not signed by the parties in attendance - Does not disqualify it - Since the recorder signed the minutes. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

LAND LAW - Sale - Nemo dat quod non habet - Raised by the plaintiff - Would rather apply against him. Ezenwa v. Ekong p. 313 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Anambra State High Court Rules 1988 O. 19 rr. 5 (1) & (2) and (8) Dike v. Aduba p. 203; O.19 r. 5 The Registered Trustees of the Living Christ Mission v. Aduba p. 191

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 ss. 277 (1), 220 (1) (9) (ii) Dike v. Aduba p. 203

Evidence Act s. 54(1) Ito v. Ekpe p. 249

COURT OF APPEAL

Kwara State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1989 0.32 rr. 13-15 Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA

Nigerian Constitution 1963 s. 22. Jolayemi v. Obaoye p. 281 CA